

Douglas C. Smith, Esq. (SBN 160013)  
 Daniel W. Ferris, Esq. (SBN 318813)  
 SMITH LAW OFFICES, LLP  
 4001 Eleventh Street  
 Riverside, CA 92501  
 Telephone: (951) 509-1355  
 Facsimile: (951) 509-1356  
 dsmith@smitlaw.com  
 dferris@smitlaw.com

Attorney for Defendants  
 OFFICER CHRISTOPHER ALLEN-YOUNG (erroneously sued  
 herein as Torrance Police Department Officer Young), and  
 OFFICER JOSHUA SATTERFIELD (erroneously sued  
 herein as Torrance Police Department Officer Satterfield)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DIRUL ROBINSON, an individual,	)	Case No.: 2:22-cv-05173-RGK(Ex)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b>DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER</b>
	)	<b>ALLEN-YOUNG'S PROPOSED</b>
vs.	)	<b>SPECIAL VERDICT FORM</b>
	)	
CITY OF TORRANCE; TORRANCE	)	
POLICE DEPARTMENT; TORRANCE	)	
POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFICER	)	
SATTERFIELD; TORRANCE POLICE	)	
DEPARTMENT OFFICER YOUNG;	)	
TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT	)	TRIAL DATE: 6/13/23
OFFICER WALLACE; TORRANCE	)	TIME: 9:00 a.m.
POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFICER	)	CTRM.: 850
TOMSIC; DOE POLICE OFFICERS 1-	)	
20, inclusive; and DOE	)	
CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS 1-20,	)	<i>Complaint filed 7/26/22</i>
inclusive,	)	<i>First Amended Complaint filed</i>
Defendants.	)	<i>9/8/22</i>

1 WE, THE JURY, in the above-entitled cause, make the following findings:

2 Did defendant, Christopher Allen-Young violate plaintiff Dirul Robinson's right  
3 under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be free from an  
4 unlawful seizure of his person?

5 **Question No. 1:**

6 Answer: Yes \_\_\_\_\_

7 No \_\_\_\_\_

8 Go to Question No. 2.

9  
10 **Question No. 2:**

11 Did defendant Christopher Allen-Young violate plaintiff Dirul Robinson's right  
12 under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be free from the use  
13 of unreasonable force upon his person?

14 Answer: Yes \_\_\_\_\_

15 No \_\_\_\_\_

16 If you answered "Yes" to either Question Nos. 1 or 2, then answer Question  
17 Nos. 3 and 4. If you answered "No" to both Question Nos. 1 and 2, please sign and  
18 date this verdict form.

19  
20 **Question No. 3:**

21 Did plaintiff Dirul Robinson show by a preponderance of the evidence that the  
22 actions of defendant Christopher Allen-Young were done maliciously, or in reckless  
23 disregard or plaintiff Dirul Robinson's Constitutional rights?

24 Answer: Yes \_\_\_\_\_

25 No \_\_\_\_\_

26  
27 Go to Question No. 4.

28 ///

**Question No. 4:**

Did defendant Christopher Allen-Young's Constitutional violation cause injury to plaintiff Dirul Robinson?

Answer: Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question No. 5.

**Question No. 5:**

Please state the amount of damages to be awarded against defendant Christopher Allen-Young:

Past economic loss, including lost earnings: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Future economic loss, including lost earnings: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Past non-economic loss, including emotional distress: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Future non-economic loss, including emotional distress: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

FOREPERSON OF THE JURY

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SMITH LAW OFFICES, LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Douglas C. Smith  
 Daniel W. Ferris  
 Attorney for Defendants  
 OFFICER CHRISTOPHER ALLEN-  
 YOUNG (erroneously sued herein as  
 Torrance Police Department Officer Young),  
 and OFFICER JOSHUA SATTERFIELD  
 (erroneously sued herein as Torrance Police  
 Department Officer Satterfield)